IJARASEM

ISSN: 2395-7852

International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

Volume 11, Issue 2, March 2024

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.583

International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

| ISSN: 2395-7852 | www.ijarasem.com | Impact Factor: 7.583 | Bimonthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 11, Issue 2, March 2024 |

A Study on Education Fututre: An Appraisal of Education Loans across Public and Private Sector Banks

Dinesh Kumaran.V, Dr. Pundareeka Vittal

MBA in Finance, CMS JAIN University, Bangalore, India

Professor, CMS JAIN University, Bangalore, India

ABSTRACT: In an era where access to quality education is essential for individual advancement and societal progress, education loans play a pivotal role in bridging the financial gap for students. This research project delves into the landscape of education loans offered by public and private sector banks, aiming to provide a comprehensive analysis of loan characteristics, borrower experiences, and policy implications. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the study combines quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews to explore the multifaceted dimensions of education loan borrowing.

The study begins by examining the key characteristics of education loans, including interest rates, repayment terms, and eligibility criteria, across public and private sector banks. Comparative analysis sheds light on the differences in loan offerings and borrower satisfaction levels between the two sectors. Additionally, qualitative inquiry delves into the subjective experiences and perceptions of education loan borrowers, uncovering the socio-cultural, psychological, and emotional dimensions of borrowing. Furthermore, the research evaluates the impact of government policies and regulatory frameworks on education loan accessibility, affordability, and borrower outcomes. By analyzing the effectiveness of existing policies and identifying areas for improvement, the study aims to inform policy recommendations for enhancing the education loan landscape.

Through this comprehensive exploration, the research contributes nuanced insights to the discourse on education financing, offering recommendations for policymakers, financial institutions, and education stakeholders to improve loan accessibility, transparency, and borrower support mechanisms. Ultimately, the study seeks to foster equitable access to education financing opportunities and promote the realization of academic aspirations for all students.

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's fast-paced world characterized by rapid technological advancements and dynamic shifts in global economies, the pursuit of quality education stands as a fundamental pillar for both individual advancement and societal progress. As students navigate the complex landscape of academic aspirations, the financial dimension looms large, with education loans emerging as indispensable resources for countless individuals. Recognizing the pivotal role of education loans in facilitating access to higher education, this project embarks on a comprehensive exploration, meticulously evaluating the intricate landscape of education financing offered by both public and private sector banks. Amidst the ever-evolving educational paradigm, our study endeavors to unravel the multifaceted dimensions of education loans, aiming to dissect and analyze the myriad terms, conditions, interest rates, and repayment structures associated with these financial instruments. By undertaking a thorough comparative analysis, we seek to shed light on the subtle nuances that differentiate education loan offerings between public sector banks and private financial institutions. Through this rigorous examination, our investigation aims to provide valuable insights into the factors influencing students' decisions when selecting their financial partners to support their academic pursuits.

Moreover, beyond the quantitative metrics of loan terms and financial metrics, our project aspires to delve deeper into the qualitative impact of education loans on students' academic and professional trajectories. By considering factors such as accessibility, affordability, and the potential for socioeconomic mobility, we aim to uncover the broader implications of education financing on individual aspirations and societal advancement. Through a descriptive narrative approach, our research seeks to contribute nuanced insights that transcend numerical data, informing policy considerations and shaping the discourse on the future trajectory of financial support for education. With a commitment to exploring both quantitative and qualitative dimensions, our study endeavors to paint a comprehensive picture of the intricate interplay between education and finance in driving societal progress. By pushing the boundaries of understanding in the field of education financing, our research sets out on a transformative journey to uncover the underlying dynamics shaping the landscape of education loans in today's rapidly evolving world.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. (Vimal Pant, 2021) Author opines:
This study assesses borrower experiences in education loans, using ANOVA tests for factors like study courses and loan sizes. Results show consistency with no significant disparities, underlining the nonneutral nature of overall experiences. Notably, professional degrees minimally impact loan financing terms. The study advocates for a future-focused appraisal in both public and private sector banking, providing insights for the evolving educational financial support landscape.

2. (R. Gethe, 2022) Author opines:
The study involved 111 respondents, with a focus on 55 loan-takers. Utilizing tools like Minitab and MS Excel, the research analyzed experiences regarding loan sanctioning, financing terms, and servicing. Findings highlighted no significant differences across lender types, indicating a consistent borrower perception. However, distinctions emerged concerning loan ticket sizes. The study provided valuable insights into borrower experiences, shaping discussions on education financing.

3. (Sarah Brown, 2011) Author opines:
This study, using U.S. Survey of Consumer Finances data, reveals a positive link between financial risk taking and the likelihood and size of educational loans. Disparities are noted, with non-white and less affluent individuals less inclined to finance education through loans, potentially deepening inequalities. The findings stress the importance of targeted interventions for equitable access to educational financing.

4. (Johanson, 1985) Author opines:
This review evaluates the processing and benefits of seven sector loans in education. These sector loans differ from conventional loans by the extent to which they: focus on policy and institutional changes; merge Bank financing with a public sector investment program; and delegate functions traditionally handled by the Bank to an intermediary institution which allocates funds to subprojects according to agree upon criteria. The scope of benefits achieved, even in the early stages of sector lending, namely delegation of responsibility for subproject appraisal, appears to be a uniquely effective way to develop institutional capacity. The review also draws several procedural lessons to help in processing future sectorloans.

5. (Rani, 2014) Author opines:
This article explores the limitations of the growing education loan program in enhancing access and equity, backed by NSSO and bank data. It aims to identify the vital threshold for converting loans into grants, proposing a rationale and mechanism for this transformation. The findings underscore the importance of recognizing this tipping point to address access and equity effectively, suggesting that the shift to grants could indirectly target the affluent for cost recovery, fostering a more equitable higher education system.

III. METHODOLOGY

The study employed a structured survey targeting students who had taken education loans from public and private sector banks in India. The survey aimed to gather information on loan features, terms, and borrower experiences. Data was collected from a diverse pool of students across various academic institutions and geographic locations to ensure a representative sample of loan borrowers.

The survey included questions on loan features such as interest rates, loan amounts, repayment periods, and eligibility criteria. Additionally, it assessed borrower satisfaction with customer service quality, loan approval processes, and ease of application. Demographic data such as income levels, geographic regions, and educational backgrounds were also collected to facilitate analysis across different segments.

The study utilized chi-square analysis to identify relationships and associations between variables such as education loan features, borrower satisfaction, and loan accessibility across public and private sector banks.

To understand the distribution and central tendencies of the collected data, the mean, median, and mode of key variables such as loan amounts, interest rates, and repayment periods were calculated. These statistical measures provided insights into the typical loan features and borrower experiences.

The results of the chi-square analysis and statistical measures were interpreted to identify significant differences and correlations between the variables under study. Key findings were summarized to highlight variations in loan features and borrower experiences between public and private sector banks.

Based on the findings, potential areas for improvement in education loan offerings were identified, with suggestions for best practices to enhance the effectiveness and inclusivity of education financing options for students in India.

IV. RESULTS AND FINDINGS

- Education loans play a crucial role in facilitating access to higher education for individuals from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. This report presents findings from a study aimed at analyzing the landscape of education loans across public and private sector banks, with a focus on borrower experiences, preferences, and satisfaction levels.
- Public banks are preferred for education loans due to their promotion of concession activities. They offer lower interest rates compared to public banks.
- Public banks tend to be favored by people for education loans. Public banks are perceived to offer more favorable terms for students seeking education loans, including lower interest rates and better concession activities.
- These findings suggest that public banks are the preferred choice for education loans, largely dueto their promotion of concession activities and perceived favorability in terms of interest rates and other terms.
- Loan Disbursement, most respondents did not encounter delays or issues with private banks compared to public banks.
- Comparison Between Public and Private Sector Banks: Insights gleaned from respondents highlighted differences in accessibility and perceived advantages and disadvantages of education loans from public and private sector banks, offering valuable perspectives for policymakers and banking institutions.
- Public sector banks offer education loans at lower interest rates than private sector banks. Further, female students can avail of a concession of 0.50 per cent to 1 per cent in certain banks.
- Public sector banks usually offer a moratorium period and a grace period or EMI holiday for education loans. The moratorium could be for the period of study or can extend for a year after finishing the course. "While you get a payment-free moratorium period in government banks, private lenders take partial or full interest on the disbursed amount during the moratorium period," You must pick a lender which allows some flexibility. However, you should start repaying as early as possible to reduce the burden.
- Public sector banks (PSU) offer the advantage of lower interest rates, which is a major attraction for borrowers. However, PSU banks have a few disadvantages, including a very long turnaround time (TAT) for loan processing, a requirement for collateral or mortgage, limited unsecured options, and opacity in their processes and services. On the other hand, private lenders may charge somewhat higher interest rates than PSU banks, but they offer distinct advantages such as student-friendly products and processes, a faster and more digitally optimized experience, higher unsecured loan options, and greater transparency in their services.
- The calculated chi-square value is 7.043. The Degree of freedom is 10 and the significance value is 0.05. The Critical value for dof(7) and significance value(0.05) is around 14.07(This value is found from the chi-square table).The calculated chi-square value(7.043) is lower than the critical value(14.07).Therefore we accept the null hypothesis(H0). So, there is no significant difference between age groups and the likelihood of applying for an education loan.
- The calculated chi-square value is 198.784. The Degree of freedom is 128 and the significance value is 0.05. The Critical value for dof (128) and significance value (0.05) is around 155.405(This value is found from the chi-square table).The calculated chi-square value(198.784) is higher than the critical value(155.405).Therefore we reject the null hypothesis(H0). So, there is an influence of socioeconomic factor.
- Mean for satisfaction for Rate on interest by lenders (Approx 2.23): The average satisfaction rate in the dataset is approximately2.23. This represents the DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS of the satisfaction rates offered by banks in thedataset. So average no of respondents is moderately satisfied. Median Satisfaction Rate (2): The middle value of the satisfaction rates in the dataset is 2. Mode of satisfaction Rate (2): The most frequently occurring satisfaction rate in the dataset is 2. This indicates that 2 is the satisfaction rate that occurs most frequently in the dataset. The variability in the dataset is 0.92.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive analysis of education loans offered by public and private sector banks, shedding light on differences in loan characteristics, borrower experiences, and policy frameworks. The findings reveal significant disparities between public and private sector bank offerings, with implications for borrower satisfaction and policy recommendations. Specifically, borrowers from public sector banks reported higher satisfaction levels with interest rates and transparency of loan terms, while private sector bank borrowers expressed greater satisfaction with customer service and loan processing efficiency. However, public sector banks were perceived to have better accessibilityand flexibility in loan terms compared to private sector banks. Moreover, the study identified policy implications, suggesting the need for regulatory adjustments to ensure equitable access to education loans and enhance transparency in loan offerings across banking sectors. These findings contribute substantively to the ongoing discourse on education loan financing, offering insights that can inform policy decisions and strategies aimed at improving the accessibility and effectiveness of education loans for students. Further research is recommended to explore the long-term financial impacts of education loans and the effectiveness of policy interventions in addressing borrower needs and enhancing the educational financing landscape.

REFERENCES

1. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9780203965931/world-bank-financingeducation- phillip-jones
2. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0738059302000536
3. https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ybpvZ3n76KoC&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=EDUCATION+FUTUTRE:+AN+APPRAISAL+OF+EDUCATION+LOANS+ACROSS+PUBLIC+AND+PRIVATE+SECTOR+BANKS&ots=bgGI9ePK8y&sig=A6E2SGxDQtL-znsxdvuuWBsGBA&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=EDUCATION%20FUTUTRE%3A%20AN%20A
4. PPRAISAL%20OF%20EDUCATION%20LOANS%20ACROSS%20PUBLIC%20AND%20PRIVATE%20SECTOR%20BANKS&f=false
5. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=3b1c22cbced4f4e7b3ee85b9 f8ffabedfdcf741f
6. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356796168_Financing_Higher_Education_through_Education_Loan_in_India_Current_Status_Challenges_and_Future_Prospects
7. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/234631918.pdf
8. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1574069206020253
9. https://www.isid.ac.in/~epu/acegd2017/papers/SenjutiPatra.pdf
10. https://www.scribbr.com/statistics/hypothesis-testing/

International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

| Mobile No: +91-9940572462 | Whatsapp: +91-9940572462 | ijarasem@gmail.com |

www.ijarasem.com